



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/903,262	07/11/2001	Shoji Kito	32780	9434		
29669 75	590 11/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	PEARSON, LLP	SHECHTMA	SHECHTMAN, SEAN P			
10 GEORGIA S LOWELL, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
20 // 222, 1/2			2125			
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	:	Applicati	on No	Applicant(c)				
	1		Applicant(s)	\mathcal{X}				
Office Action Summary		09/903,20		KITO ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
TL - 84 841 1410	DATE - SAL'		hechtman	2125				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	appears on the	e cover sneet with ti	ne corresponaence addre	:SS			
THE MAILING DATI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified. Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the earned patent term adjust	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO e available under the provisions of 37 CF om the mailing date of this communication cified above is less than thirty (30) days, a pecified above, the maximum statutory potential of the provision of the set or extended period for reply will, by so Office later than three months after the rement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the stat eriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply t utory minimum of thirty (30' ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this comm ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 1							
<i>'</i> —	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
ciosed in acco	ordance with the practice und	iei Ex parte Qi	layle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims				·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠. Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	ve claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from co	nsideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.							
	_ are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	equirement.					
	_ ,		•					
Application Papers								
•	on is objected to by the Exar		antad or b) 🗀 abias	stad to by the Everniner				
) filed on <u>13 October 2004</u> is not request that any objection to	-						
	rawing sheet(s) including the co	• ,	•	, ,	1,121(d).			
•	eclaration is objected to by th	•	J. ,	•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.(C. & 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgm	ent is made of a claim for for ome * c)∐ None of:	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certifie	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
·	of the certified copies of the	•		eived in this National St	age			
• •	tion from the International Bu			oived				
See the attache	ed detailed Office action for a	a list of the cert	illed copies not rec	eiveu.				
Attachment(s)	W. L(DTO 005)		л П	(DTO (12)				
 Notice of References C Notice of Draftsperson's 	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI			nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	 ·							

Application/Control Number: 09/903,262 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 7 are presented for examination. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended. Claims 2-6 and 8-37 have been cancelled.

Drawings

2. Objections withdrawn due to the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said information" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2125

4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over J.P. Pat. No. 408228920A to Kikuchi in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,255,630 to Barnes.

Referring to claim 1, Kikuchi teaches a cooking utensil for cooking a food by using predetermined heating means (See constitution section on first page of the translation), said cooking utensil comprising:

- (a) means for setting a maintenance time for each of a plurality of maintenance items by arbitrarily setting a calendar date for executing each of said maintenance items (See constitution section on first page of the translation),
- (b) means for tracking calendar dates and determining when said maintenance time for each of said plurality of maintenance items occurs (See paragraph 16 of the translation);

Referring to claim 1, while Kikuchi teaches all the limitations disclosed above and further teaches two cleaning processes to be executed on two different times and dates (See constitution section on first page of the translation), and Kikuchi further teaches a control panel with a display (See paragraph 8 and figure 4 of the translation), Kikuchi fails to teach informing means displays a predetermined sign on an operating panel of said cooking utensil informing a user when each calendar date occurs for executing the maintenance. Furthermore, Kikuchi fails to teach displaying the user informed maintenance item after said predetermined sign is displayed. Referring to claim 7, Kikuchi fails to teach that after informing said user of the execution time, said information is automatically removed by performing the corresponding one of said maintenance items.

The examiner respectfully submits that the claims, as such, do not require an action taken by the user in response to the informing means. The examiner respectfully submits that there is

Art Unit: 2125

no limitation on what the predetermined sign is required to be. Furthermore, the maintenace items are not required to be different, as discusses in the previous office action. Further still, steps a-d are not even required to be used for the cooking utensil.

However, referring to claim 1, Barnes teaches a cooking appliance including cleaning operational modes (Col. 2, line 54 – Col. 3, line 12) and a display for indicating the cleaning operational modes (Col. 5, lines 1-5), wherein Barnes clearly teaches receiving operational information indicative of desired operational modes and times for successive operations, wherein a user is visually conveyed information concerning these operations in a display, wherein the visual display indicates the amount of time until the start of the next operation during the current operation, wherein the display also indicates the operational mode for each of the operations prior to the starting of the next operation (Col. 10, lines 1-19).

Referring to claim 7, Barnes teaches the cooking utensil above, wherein, after informing said user of the time until start, said information is automatically removed by performing the corresponding one of said maintenance items (Col. 8, lines 56-59; Col. 5, lines 1-5, CLEANED).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to combine the teachings of Barnes with the teachings of Kikuchi to display the amount of time until the next cleaning operation and the cleaning operation, as taught by Barnes.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine these references because Barnes teaches a control system for a cooking appliance that is designed to ease the inputting of program information by a user and also convey status information to the user.

Furthermore, Barnes teaches the system can allow for successive operations with a display

Art Unit: 2125

indicating the operations selected and the amount of time before the next operation will be initiated (Col. 1, line 54 – Col. 2, line 1).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art or art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents or publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect a cooking range with functions programmed to begin a self-cleaning cycle at noon on Wednesdays.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,374,079 to Hsu (Col. 11, lines 57-65).

The following patents or publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect a rice cooker with a calendar function for performing temperature control and time control.

- J.P. Pub. No. 08-332148 to Tsuji.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean P. Shechtman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3754. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,262

Art Unit: 2125

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SPS

Sean P. Shechtman

October 31, 2004

Johnsh,

Jayprakash N. Gandhi Primary Examiner 2300 Technology Center 2800 Page 6